

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,184	10/01/2003	Carl L. Hammonds	HTS021/142827	7110
23444 7590 03/16/2007 ANDREWS & KURTH, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
600 TRAVIS,	SUITE 4200		KOCZO JR, MICHAEL	
HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3746		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	PHTM	03/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/676,184	HAMMONDS, CARL L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONET	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•					
•—	action is non-final.						
•							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) <u>7-15</u> is/are allowed.							
Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.		•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-01-2003.	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 7, line 14, "Tube tubular" is redundant.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 15, line 11, "piston" should read -pistons--. In line 5 from the bottom, "clears" should read -closes--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Berrenberg (US 582,256), Herbsman et al (US 1,909,955) or Parks (US 1,774,662) in view of Nissels (US 4,773,305). Each of these references disclose a pump having first and second pistons and cylinders, and first and second valve assemblies. The pistons move in opposite directions. The pump drive is coupled to the first and second pistons and to the first and second

Art Unit: 3746

valve assemblies. However, these references do not disclose pistons having tubular diaphragms. Nissels discloses a piston pump having a tubular diaphragm connected at one end to the cylinder, and at the other end to the piston with a piston cap 15. The tubular diaphragm ensures that no fluid can leak between the pumping chamber and the portion of the cylinder wherein the piston slides. This prevents contamination of the pumped fluid with piston lubricant, for example. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to provide the pistons of either of Berrenberg Herbsman et al or Parks with a tubular diaphragm.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Berrenberg (US 582,256), Herbsman et al (US 1,909,955) or Parks (US 1,774,662), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Strohmeier (US 4,883,409). Each of Berrenberg, Herbsman et al or Parks disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, these references do not disclose the drive means as being a two-direction (reversible) electric motor. Strohmeier et al disclose a pump having a screw drive connection between the piston and a reversible electric motor 36. The use of a screw drive allows finer control of the piston position and displacement for liquid metering as compared to the crank type drives of either of Berrenberg, Herbsman et al or Parks. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to substitute a screw drive connection between the piston and the drive means of either of Berrenberg, Herbsman et al or Parks, and to use a reversible electric motor as the drive means as taught by Strohmeier.

Claim 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Berrenberg (US 582,256), Herbsman et al (US 1,909,955) or Parks (US 1,774,662), as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Strempel (US 2,675,946). Each of Berrenberg, Herbsman

Art Unit: 3746

et al or Parks disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, these references do not disclose the drive means as being a unidirectional electric motor. Strempel discloses a pump piston driven by an unidirectional electric motor. Electric motors are more compact per power output as compared to other drive means. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to provide the pumps of either of Berrenberg, Herbsman et al or Parks with an unidirectional electric motor as the drive for the pistons.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 to 15 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached at 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746